

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/612,039	07/03/2003	Akihiko Chiba	108421-00075	4266
4372 75	90 05/08/2006		EXAMINER	
ARENT FOX PLLC 1050 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, N.W.			ALEXANDER, MICHAEL P	
SUITE 400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20036		1742	· , _ , , ,
			DATE MAILED: 05/08/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				L.		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/612,039	CHIBA ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Michael P. Alexander	1742			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence addres	SS		
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period varie to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH: c, cause the application to become ABAN	TION. y be timely filed S from the mailing date of this commu			
Status				<i>)</i> .		
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 A</u>	<u>pril 2006</u> .				
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters	s, prosecution as to the me	erits is		
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
4) 🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.					
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) 3-8 is/are withdrawn	from consideration.				
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Applicati	ion Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc	epted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance	. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		·			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer. Note the attached C	Office Action or form PTO-1	152.		
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).			
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in App	lication No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	ceived in this National Sta	ge		
	application from the International Bureau	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
* \$	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not re	ceived.			
Attachmen		_				
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		nmary (PTO-413) Mail Date			
3) Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date		mal Patent Application (PTO-152	2)		

Art Unit: 1742

DETAILED ACTION

Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending.

Election/Restrictions

Claims 3-8 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 11 October 2005.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chiba et al. (Suzuki Segregation) in view of Chiba et al. (WO 02/24967), on the same grounds as stated in the Office Action of 7 November 2005.

Application/Control Number: 10/612,039 Page 3

Art Unit: 1742

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 7 April 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that the alloy of Chiba in view of Chiba would not have the claimed precipitation of fine deposit and a fine twin structure and high density of stacking fault because Chiba does not teach heating in an adequate time to a temperature of 600 to 800 degrees C in a condition of applying stress after the solid solution treatment. The Examiner disagrees. Chiba (Suzuki Segregation) teach in Fig. 2b aging at 943 K (670 degrees C) in a condition of applied stress for about 5000 seconds which is about 1.1 hours. The Examiner is not referring to the aging treatment in section 3.3 of the reference, and is instead referring to the aging treatment of Fig. 2b.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/612,039

Art Unit: 1742

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael P. Alexander whose telephone number is 571-272-8558. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy V. King can be reached on 571-272-1244. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Myg mpa ROY KING
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700

Page 4